

Operation Talla Exposed: Martin Hewitt's Speech and the Treachery Behind Britain's “One UK Police Force”

Edition No. 2 (updated to include Addendum)

Authored by: Ethical Approach UK

Date: 29 October 2025

Martin Hewitt, as Chair of the National Police Chiefs' Council (NPCC), was not some background figure in Operation Talla - he was at the very top. His words carry the weight of authority, reflecting the actual design and intent of how British policing operated during the Covid period.

In his speech at the Operation Talla Awards Ceremony, Hewitt unintentionally laid bare the red flags of treachery, deceit and constitutional erosion that defined the operation.

A De Facto National Police Force

Hewitt openly declared:

“We took the decision... to police this as one UK police force, and for most of the pandemic you had different laws in the four countries.”

This is an extraordinary admission. Policing in the UK is meant to remain operationally independent and regionally accountable. Yet under Operation Talla, that principle was abandoned in favour of centralised, command-driven enforcement. A de facto national police force, orchestrated from London, emerged, without public mandate or parliamentary debate.

Fusion with the Executive

Perhaps most shocking was Hewitt’s casual remark that:

“Some of Owen’s teams became pretty much joined with teams in the Home Office... that was really important.”

This is a confession that police decision-making was directly fused with government. Far from the constitutional safeguard of police independence, Hewitt celebrates the collapse of the barrier between the executive and law enforcement.

What makes this even more damning is that the Home Office itself has recently denied any involvement in Operation Talla in responses to Ethical Approach UK. Hewitt's own words blow those denials apart. The fusion he describes proves beyond doubt that the Home Office was intimately connected to the operation. If government will mislead the public about its role, then government is simply unworthy of any public trust.

Rules for the Public, Exceptions for the Powerful

Hewitt boasts about the complexity of Covid regulations, including “37 exceptions” citing examples like Formula One teams and Real Madrid footballers being waved through, whilst ordinary citizens were criminalised for stepping outside their homes:

“It was literally illegal to be outside your house.”

Here lies the clearest betrayal: double standards. Ordinary people were threatened with fines, arrests and criminal records, whilst political and economic elites were granted carve-outs.

“Enforcement Last” – A Hollow Myth

Hewitt clings to the narrative of the Four E’s: Engage, Explain, Encourage, Enforce as a last resort. He claims,

“I think we achieved that aim.”

Yet our investigations show otherwise. Operation Talla was accompanied by centrally-issued communications lines instructing forces not to record vaccine-related complaints and to issue “no crime” conclusions regardless of evidence. Emails even celebrated that this “guidance to not record” was a success.

Thus, “low enforcement” was not the result of public trust - it was manufactured through strategic concealment. Public complaints vanished into the void, keeping controversy “off the books.”

Speed Over Scrutiny

Hewitt seemed proud when he said:

“Things that did not exist on a Friday existed on a Monday.”

This boast betrays the true risk of Talla: speed, centralisation and command structures replacing accountability, record-keeping and due process. In law, haste without scrutiny invites error, abuse and concealment.

The “Protection of Life” Contradiction

Hewitt also invoked “protection of life” as a central justification for Operation Talla. Yet this cannot be reconciled with what we now know:

The Police Scotland directive (Speirs, Jan 2022), issued on NPCC/Gold advice, instructed forces to reject public reports of vaccine harms outright.

The NPCC disclosures reveal emails congratulating forces that “not recording” such complaints was a “success.”

In the Met Police CRN 6029679/21 case, nearly 400 witness and expert statements were submitted, but not a single witness was contacted.

If Operation Talla’s mission was truly to protect life, how can one justify refusing even to investigate claims of serious harm and risk to life? The answer is unavoidable: “protection of life” in Hewitt’s speech meant protecting the government’s chosen narrative, not the actual lives of ordinary citizens.

The Red Flags Hewitt Cannot Hide

From his own mouth, Hewitt confirmed:

One UK police force - a constitutional fiction turned reality.

Joined with the Home Office - executive capture of policing, despite Home Office denials.

Illegal to be outside your house - yet with elite exceptions.

Enforcement last - contradicted by documentary evidence of complaint suppression.

Speed as virtue - signalling process bulldozed by central diktat.

Protection of life - irreconcilable with suppression of vaccine-harm reports.

Conclusion: Treachery in Plain Sight

Hewitt's position as NPCC Chair gives his words immense evidential weight. His speech confirms what our documents and FOI disclosures have revealed: Operation Talla was not a benign coordination exercise. It was a command-and-control project that eroded constitutional safeguards, concealed evidence and applied double standards to the public v so-called elites.

This was not policing by consent. It was policing by diktat - the treachery of an institution which abandoned its duty to the people.

Hear Martin Hewitt's words for yourself:

<https://youtu.be/tdsnsssIKx4?si=DCSZP76UwHDTPNK>

X

Addendum Report

When “One UK Police Force” Means No Justice at All How Martin Hewitt’s Admission Collapses the Last Illusion of Police Independence

Introduction

Operation Talla was presented as an emergency policing framework during the Covid-19 crisis. For years, the public were reassured that each police force retained operational independence, accountable locally and insulated from central government interference.

At the Operation Talla Awards, NPCC Chair Martin Hewitt shattered that illusion. His words were clear:

“We took the decision to police this as one UK police force.”

This single admission destroys the constitutional safeguard that has underpinned British policing for over a century.

Durham Constabulary's Refusal (2023)

In December 2023, Durham Constabulary was formally presented with allegations of conspiracy to pervert the course of justice, grounded in Dominic Cummings' 2021 parliamentary testimony.

The allegation was grave: that government officials may have colluded to obstruct justice during the pandemic.

The response from Durham Constabulary was silence, deflection, and closure of correspondence, ensuring the allegations never received proper investigation.

At the time, one could argue that perhaps another force, unconnected to Durham, might have provided oversight or taken on the investigation. Hewitt's admission however, now proves this was an illusion.

Why Hewitt's Admission Changes Everything

1. One UK Police Force means no Independence

If all forces were subsumed into a single NPCC-led structure, then no police force could act independently to investigate another.

Durham's refusal becomes a national refusal by default.

2. Closed-Shop Obstruction of Justice

The “one force” model created a closed system. Any allegation of conspiracy involving government and police could never be investigated internally.

This converts Durham's 2023 refusal from a local failure into evidence of a nationally coordinated obstruction of justice.

3. The Constitutional Safeguard Has Collapsed

The doctrine of policing by consent relies on independence.

Hewitt's words confirm that safeguard no longer exists.

Britain is now left with a police system structurally incapable of investigating state-linked criminality.

The Consequence for the Cummings Testimony

Dominic Cummings' testimony to Parliament in 2021 provided prima facie evidence of conspiracy at the highest levels of government.

When reported to Durham Constabulary in 2023, it should have triggered an investigation.

Instead, the refusal was effectively protected by the national “one force” command structure.

This makes the refusal not just an act of nonfeasance, but part of the same conspiracy alleged in the testimony itself.

Conclusion: The Last Illusion Falls

Martin Hewitt’s “one UK police force” admission is more than a slip of the tongue. It is the final confirmation that:

There is no operational independence.

There is no independent route to justice.

The refusal to investigate the Cummings allegations was not an anomaly, but was the inevitable outcome of a system built to close ranks.

This is not just a policing scandal. It is a constitutional collapse. The very institutions designed to uphold justice now stand revealed as incapable of delivering it.

The People must now decide

If the police cannot investigate government criminality,
who will?

Ethical Approach UK

29 October 2025